

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

---

IZARIAH JUMP, and  
ESTATE OF JONAH MARCINIAK,  
by special Administrator, Brenda Mroch,

Plaintiffs,

Case No.: 19-CV-1151

v.

VILLAGE OF SHOREWOOD,  
THOMAS LIEBENTHAL,  
CODY J. SMITH, and,  
NICOLAS TARABOI,

Defendants.

---

**DEFENDANTS' SUMMARY JUDGMENT TABLE OF CONTENTS FOR SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT BRIEF AND TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES .....	ii
PREFACE .....	1
FACTUAL BACKGROUND.....	2
STANDARD OF REVIEW.....	6
ARGUMENT.....	7
I. <u>SPD Officers Had Probable Cause for the Arrest and Detention of Marciniak</u> . . .	7
a.    Federal Probable Cause Standard. ....	7
b.    Marciniak's Arrest Was Supported by Probable Cause. ....	8
c.    Plaintiffs' "Exculpatory" Evidence is Irrelevant .....	10
II. <u>The Search of Marciniak Complied with the Constitution</u> . ....	13

a.	Marciniak Was Not Strip Searched . . . . .	13
b.	The Search Did Not Require Reasonable Suspicion. . . . .	14
c.	Plaintiffs Cannot State a Claim for Violation of Substantive Due Process . . . . .	16
d.	Plaintiffs Cannot State a Claim for Violation of Procedural Due Process . . . . .	16
III.	<u>Defendants Did Not Violate Marciniak’s Right to Medical Care During Arrest or Detention.</u> . . . . .	18
a.	Police Response to Detainee Medical Needs Must Be Reasonable Under the Circumstances. . . . .	18
b.	Defendants Did Not Have Notice of Marciniak’s Risk of Suicide. . . . .	19
c.	Defendants Cared for Marciniak’s Apparent Medical Needs. . . . .	21
d.	Plaintiffs Have No Evidence to Establish the Causal Element of Their Claims. . . . .	24
IV.	<u>Qualified Immunity Demands Dismissal of Plaintiffs Federal Claims</u> . . . . .	25
a.	Qualified Immunity for Federal Claims . . . . .	25
b.	Defendants Are Immune From Any Claim by Plaintiff Izariah Jump That He Has a Federal Cause of Action for Loss of Society and Companionship. . . . .	26
c.	Defendants are Immune from Plaintiffs’ Federal False Arrest Claim . . . . .	26
d.	Defendants are Immune from Plaintiffs’ Claims of Illegal Search . . . . .	27
e.	Defendants are Immune from Plaintiffs’ Medical Care, Attention, and Self-Harm Claims . . . . .	27
V.	<u>Plaintiffs’ State Law Claims Must be Dismissed or Remanded to State Court</u> . . . . .	28
a.	Plaintiffs Cannot Prove Their State Claims . . . . .	28
b.	Discretionary Immunity Protects Defendants from Plaintiffs’ State Claims . . . . .	29
	CONCLUSION . . . . .	30

## **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

### **FEDERAL CASES**

<i>Abbott v. Sangamon Cty., Ill.</i> , 705 F.3d 706 (7th Cir. 2013) . . . . .	7, 26-27
<i>Anderson v. City of W. Bend Police Dep't</i> , 774 F. Supp. 2d 925 (E.D. Wis. 2011) . . . .	12, 14, 27
<i>Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc.</i> , 477 U.S. 242, 106 S.Ct. 2505, 91 L.Ed.2d 202 (1986) . . . . .	7
<i>Anderson v. Westfield Ins. Co.</i> , 300 F. Supp. 2d 726 (W.D. Wis. 2002) . . . . .	26
<i>Beardsall v. CVS Pharmacy, Inc.</i> , 953 F.3d 969 (7th Cir. 2020) . . . . .	25
<i>Bell v. McAdory</i> , 820 F.3d 880 (7th Cir. 2016) . . . . .	17
<i>Bradley v. Flynn</i> , No. 13-CV-859-BBC, 2015 WL 137302 (W.D. Wis. Jan. 9, 2015) . . . . .	10
<i>Brokaw v. Mercer Cty.</i> , 235 F.3d 1000 (7th Cir. 2000) . . . . .	26
<i>Brown v. Polk Cty., Wisconsin</i> , 965 F.3d 534 (7th Cir. 2020) . . . . .	14
<i>Burritt v. Ditlefsen</i> , 807 F.3d 239 (7th Cir. 2015) . . . . .	10
<i>Celotex Corp. v. Catrett</i> , 477 U.S. 317, 206 S.Ct. 2548, 91 L.Ed.2d 265 (1986) . . . . .	6-7
<i>Collignon v. Milwaukee Cty.</i> , 163 F.3d 982 (7th Cir. 1998) . . . . .	24, 28
<i>Colon v. Schneider</i> , 899 F.2d 660 (7th Cir. 1990) . . . . .	17
<i>DeShaney v. Winnebago County Dept. of Social Servs.</i> , 489 U.S. 189, 109 S.Ct. 998, 103 L.Ed.2d 249 (1989) . . . . .	24
<i>Ebert v. Gaetz</i> , 610 F.3d 404 (7th Cir. 2010) . . . . .	8
<i>Estate of Fiebrink by Cade v. Armor Corr. Health Servs., Inc.</i> , No. 18-CV-832-JPS, 2019 WL 1980625 (E.D. Wis. May 3, 2019) . . . . .	26
<i>Estate of Perry v. Wenzel</i> , 872 F.3d 439 (7th Cir. 2017) . . . . .	19, 22-23, 30
<i>Ewell v. Toney</i> , 853 F.3d 911 (7th Cir. 2017) . . . . .	7, 25
<i>Florek v. Vill. of Mundelein, Ill.</i> , 649 F.3d 594 (7th Cir. 2011) . . . . .	18, 20, 22
<i>Florence v. Bd. of Chosen Freeholders of Cty. of Burlington</i> , 566 U.S. 318, 132 S. Ct. 1510, 182 L. Ed. 2d 566 (2012) . . . . .	13-15, 27

<i>Fox v. Hayes</i> , 600 F.3d 819 (7th Cir. 2010) . . . . .	7
<i>Graham v. Connor</i> , 490 U.S. 386, 109 S.Ct. 1865, 104 L.Ed.2d 443 (1989) . . . . .	17
<i>Hanson v. Dane Cty.</i> , Wis., 608 F.3d 335 (7th Cir. 2010) . . . . .	13
<i>Henry v. Hulett</i> , 969 F.3d 769 (7th Cir. 2020) . . . . .	15-16, 25, 27
<i>Hernandez v. Mesa</i> , – U.S. –, 137 S. Ct. 2003, 2007, 198 L. Ed. 2d 625 (2017) . . . . .	26
<i>Huff v. Reichert</i> , 744 F.3d 999 (7th Cir. 2014) . . . . .	26
<i>King v. Hendricks Cty. Commissioners</i> , 954 F.3d 981 (7th Cir. 2020) . . . . .	6, 21
<i>Kisela v. Hughes</i> , – U.S. –, 138 S. Ct. 1148, 200 L. Ed. 2d 449 (2018) . . . . .	25
<i>Kraushaar v. Flanigan</i> , 45 F.3d 1040 (7th Cir. 1995) . . . . .	16-17, 27
<i>Lovett v. Herbert</i> , 907 F.3d 986 (7th Cir. 2018) . . . . .	19, 27
<i>Maryland v. King</i> , 569 U.S. 435, 133 S. Ct. 1958, 186 L. Ed. 2d 1 (2013)	
<i>Matos ex rel. Matos v. O'Sullivan</i> , 335 F.3d 553, 558 (7th Cir. 2003) . . . . .	20
<i>McBride v. Grice</i> , 576 F.3d 703, 708 (7th Cir. 2009) . . . . .	11
<i>McCann v. Badger Mining Corp.</i> , 965 F.3d 578 (7th Cir. 2020) . . . . .	6
<i>Muckway v. Craft</i> , 789 F.2d 517 (7th Cir. 1986) . . . . .	24
<i>Newman v. Vagnini</i> , No. 15-CV-1363-JPS, 2016 WL 6090859 (E.D. Wis. Oct. 18, 2016) . . . .	13
<i>Ortiz v. City of Chicago</i> , 656 F.3d 523 (7th Cir. 2011) . . . . .	24
<i>Plumhoff v. Rickard</i> , 572 U.S. 765, 134 S. Ct. 2012, 188 L. Ed. 2d 1056 (2014) . . . . .	26
<i>Pulera v. Sarzant</i> , 966 F.3d 540 (7th Cir. 2020) . . . . .	12, 18-21, 23-25
<i>Stokes v. Bd. of Educ. of the City of Chicago</i> , 599 F.3d 617 (7th Cir. 2010) . . . . .	10
<i>Thompson v. City of Chicago</i> , 472 F.3d 444 (7th Cir. 2006) . . . . .	23
<i>United States v. Edwards</i> , 415 U.S. 800, 94 S.Ct. 1234, 39 L.Ed.2d 771 (1974).	
<i>United States v. Eymann</i> , 962 F.3d 273 (7th Cir. 2020) . . . . .	7, 12

<i>United States v. Funches</i> , 327 F.3d 582 (7th Cir. 2003) . . . . .	8, 27
<i>United States v. Johnson</i> , 874 F.3d 571 (7th Cir. 2017) . . . . .	15, 27
<i>United States v. Lanier</i> , 520 U.S. 259, 117 S.Ct. 1219, 137 L.Ed.2d 432 (1997) . . . . .	16
<i>United States v. Robinson</i> , 414 U.S. 218, 94 S.Ct. 467, 38 L.Ed.2d 427 (1973) . . . . .	14-15
<i>United States v. Tepiew</i> , 859 F.3d 452, 456 (7th Cir. 2017) . . . . .	8
<i>White v. Olig</i> , 56 F.3d 817 (7th Cir. 1995) . . . . .	16
<i>White v. Pauly</i> , 580 U.S. —, 137 S. Ct. 548, 551, 196 L. Ed. 2d 463 (2017) . . . . .	26
<i>Williams v. Rodriguez</i> , 509 F.3d 392 (7th Cir. 2007) . . . . .	19
<i>Wilson v. City of Milwaukee</i> , 138 F. Supp. 2d 1126 (E.D. Wis. 2001) . . . . .	29
<i>Zappa v. Gonzalez</i> , 819 F.3d 1002 (7th Cir. 2016) . . . . .	8, 27

#### STATE CASES

<i>Cords v. Anderson</i> , 80 Wis. 2d 525, 259 N.W.2d 672 (1977) . . . . .	29
<i>DeFever v. City of Waukesha</i> , 2007 WI App 266, ¶¶ 7-8, 306 Wis. 2d 766, 743 N. W.2d 848 . . . . .	29
<i>Kelly v. Mohrhusen</i> , 50 Wis. 2d 337, 184 N.W.2d 149 (1971) . . . . .	28
<i>Kierstyn v. Racine Unified School Dist.</i> , 228 Wis. 2d 81, 596 N.W.2d 417 (1999) . . . . .	29
<i>State v. Popke</i> , 2009 WI 37, ¶ 14, 317 Wis. 2d 118, 765 N.W.2d 569 . . . . .	28

#### OTHER AUTHORITY

42 U.S.C. § 1983 . . . . .	1, 7, 16, 22-24, 26
F.R.C.P. 56 . . . . .	1, 6-7
Civil L.R. 56 . . . . .	1
WIS. STAT. § 893.80 . . . . .	29
WIS. STAT. § 895.03 . . . . .	28
WIS. STAT. § 940.19 . . . . .	10
WIS. STAT. § 968.07 . . . . .	9, 28
WIS. STAT. § 968.075 . . . . .	7, 9, 10, 29
WIS. STAT. § 968.255 . . . . .	13-14, 16-17
Wis. Admin. Code DOC § 349.12 . . . . .	23